

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,332		12/31/2003	Ellen O. Aeling	59054US002 4681	
32692	7590	03/28/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNOV PO BOX 334		PROPERTIES CO	BLACKMAN, ROCHELLE ANN J		
ST. PAUL,	. — .	33-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•				2851	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(a)				
		10/750,332	AELING ET AL.	Bus				
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Rochelle Blackman	2851					
The MAILING Period for Reply	3 DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	'ess				
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If the period for reply spe - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	FATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TO THIS COMMUNICATION. TO available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 om the mailing date of this communication. In the mailing date of this communication. It is communication to the mailing date of this communication. It is communication to the mailing of the provision of the provis	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this come ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to	o communication(s) filed on 31 De	ecember 2003.						
2a) This action is		action is non-final.						
3) Since this ap								
closed in acc	ordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-2</i> 7	is/are pending in the application.							
	ove claim(s) is/are withdrav							
5)☐ Claim(s)								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specificat	ion is objected to by the Examine	r						
_	s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/ai		ted to by the Examin	· Ier				
	not request that any objection to the o		·					
	drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		` '	1 121(d)				
	eclaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.								
			> 4 D = 40					
	ent is made of a claim for foreign Some * c)□ None of:	phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(α) or (τ).					
		have been received						
	ed copies of the priority documents		ion No					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	ition from the International Bureau		eu in this National Si	lage				
	ed detailed Office action for a list of		ed					
	Simos assisti for a list (or and document dopied not receive	.					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References C	Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson'	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	<u>u 12 6001</u> ,	O) [
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Act	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date	20050309				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hill (U.S. Patent No. 4,673,609).

Hill discloses a dual display system (FIGS. 1-32) comprising: a graphic article (silhouette pattern – see col. 17, lines 46-52, for example see 33) providing a first display in a first lighting condition; and a projection system (the apparatus or system that projects the colored lights or images - col. 17, lines 46-52) providing a second display (colored light or images projected on the other side of the silhouette pattern see col. 17, lines 46-52, for example see 14) in a second lighting condition; wherein the graphic article is a unidirectional graphic article (see 14 and col. 2, lines 9-13); wherein the graphic article is a perforated imaged film (see 14); wherein the first lighting condition is a high brightness viewing condition and the second lighting condition is a low brightness viewing condition (see col. 2, lines 50-65, col. 3, lines 4-9, col. 5, lines 16-37, and see col. 17, lines 30-45); wherein the projection system comprises a projector (the "projector" is considered to be the apparatus that projects the colored lights or images onto the white or light areas on one side of the silhouette pattern – see Art Unit: 2851

col. 17, lines 46-52) and a diffuser screen (see 10 and 12); wherein the projector is computer controlled (also see col. 17, lines 46-52); wherein the graphic article (for example, 14) is attached to an inside surface of a window substrate (see 22) and the diffuser screen (see 10 or 12) is attached between the graphic article and the projector; wherein the graphic article (for example 14) is attached to an outside surface of a window substrate (see 22) and the diffuser (see 10 or 22) is attached to an inside surface of the window substrate; wherein the diffuser screen is a diffusing window substrate (see 10 or 12) and the graphic article (for example, 14) is attached to an outside surface of the window substrate (see 10 or 12); wherein the projection system is a television/computer display (also see col. 17, lines 46-52); wherein the second display provided by the projection system is at least one fixed image (for example, see 14); wherein the second display provided by the projection system is a full motion video (also see col. 17, lines 46-52); wherein the first display conveys information relevant to a time period associated with the first lighting condition and the second display conveys information relevant to a time period associated with the second lighting condition/ wherein the high brightness condition is daylight and the low brightness condition is nighttime (see col. 8, lines 35-46). Method claims are similarly met by the features and functions of the above-mentioned elements.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,332

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill (U.S. Patent No. 4,673,609) in view of Yamashita (EP Patent No.0041390).

Hill discloses the claimed invention except for the graphic article having a perforation of 10%-70% and the diffuser screen has a transmission of 20%-90%; the graphic article having a perforation of about 40%-50% and the diffuser screen having a transmission of about 50%-70%; and the graphic article having a perforation of about 40% and the diffuser screen has a transmission of about 60%.

Yamashita teaches providing a graphic article (3), attached to a diffuser screen (8), having a ratio of the area occupied by apertures to the area other than apertures falling with a range between 30:70 and 40:60 and a graphic article (28), attached to a diffuser screen (8), having a ratio between opaque area and transparent area that generally ranges between 75:25 and 65:35.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Hill reference with graphic articles having the above ratios falling between 30:70 and 40:60 or 75:25 and 65:35, as taught by Yamashita for purpose of enabling various patterns of advertisement display to be obtained on a single apparatus (see abstract and pg. 1, lines 1-10).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rochelle Blackman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2113. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RB

RODNEY FULLER PRIMARY EXAMINES